Seismic Analysis of a 4-Storey Existing Reinforced Concrete Building in Cairo,

Egypt

MTC Concrete Project Team

Mohamed Fadl Shoman, Ahmed Mahdy Hassan , Ahmed Ashraf Bassal , Ahmed Saeed Abutaleb, Ahmed Eid Sayed

Department of Civil Engineering, Military Technical College MTC.Cairo,Egypt,

assessment of Egypt.

Abstract—The aim of this paper is the evaluation of an existing RC multistory building if exposed to seismic loads. The building studied is a four storev residential building in the city of Cairo, Egypt. It is constructed from reinforced concrete and designed for gravity loads only according to the Egyptian Concrete Code EGP203-2018. In the present paper earthquake loads are calculated by three different methods (Equivalent Static method, Response Spectrum method, Time History Analysis). These methods are given in the Regulations for earthquake resistant design of buildings in Egypt, (EGP203-2018). The results from the analysis due to seismic and gravity loads are compared in order to evaluate the straining actions of base shear. The bending moment and shear forces can be obtained from ETABS 17.0.1, which is usually used to perform the linear and nonlinear analysis. The results showed there is effect for seismic loads in the X direction and also the paper studied the effect of seismic loads in the Y direction. Generally Bending moments and shear forces in beams and columns due to seismic excitation showed much larger values compared to that due to gravity loads .The results obtained, show the need for additional reinforcements and increase of cross sections of the original concrete elements, in order to improve its seismic behavior.

I. INTRODUCTION

Egypt is not free from earthquakes. It has experienced many earthquakes during the recent history, and the previous studies in this field demonstrated this argument. Egypt is generally considered a country of low seismic activity. However; recent seismic activities in different regions within Egypt warrant seismic hazard

6th IUGRC International Undergraduate Research Conference, Military Technical College, Cairo, Egypt, Sep. 5th – Sep. 8th, 2022.

I. DESCRIBTION OF THE BUILDING

The building considered is a traditional residential four storey regular reinforced concrete building. It consists of ground floor and three storeys. The main dimensions in plan are 33.02 meters in X direction and 22.85 meters in Y direction. The figures below show the buildings plan view and their sections. The vertical support system of the building consists of columns and shear walls. The building has four levels over the ground. Each level has a standard height of 3.00 m while the height of the ground floor is 3.5 m. The structure system is a moment resisting RC building with solid slab, 12cm thickness, and flat slab, 18cm thickness. The building was analyzed using *ETABS 17.0.1* program. Base Shear of the building was selected for the purposes of the analysis.



Fig.1: Plan of the studied building



Fig.2: Sections of the building

2.1 Numerical modeling

Numerical models for the case has been prepared using *ETABS 17.0.1*. Beams and columns are modeled as frame elements while walls and slabs are modeled as shell elements. In this paper the seismic performance of the considered residential building will be evaluated using the three methods of seismic load calculations.

2.2 Analysis

The analysis of the RC building follow the Egyptian Code for Design and Construction of RC Structures. The sustained live load associated with lateral load combinations is 25% of the total live load. There are stiffness modifiers in reinforced concrete structure.

$\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{eff}}$	نوع العنصر الإنشائى
0.7 Ig	الأعمدة
0.7 Ig	حوائط القص
0.5 Ig	الكمرات
0.25 Ig	البلاطات
Eia 2. Effecting in a	tia of atministerial alamont

Fig.3: Effective inertia of structural elements

2.2.1 Method1: Simplified Modal R.S.Method (Equivalent Static Mehod)

For applying this method there are some conditions that must be in the case of study: the periodic time for the building in each direction equals or less than $4T_c$ and 2sec, the building should be regular in vertical and horizontal views.

The different coefficients are the importance factor (γ) which on the importance of the building and purpose of its using , Response modification factor(R): it depends on the statical system of the building , Damping factor corrected for Hz.R.S ,Sd is the acceleration of the building not the earthquake.

قيمة العجلة الأرضية التصميمية (ag)	المنطقة
0.10 g	المنطقة الاولى
0.125 g	المنطقة الثانية
0.15 g	المنطقة الثالثة
0.20 g	المنطقة االرابعة
0.25 g	المنطقة الخامسة (أ)
0.30 g	المنطقة الخامسة (ب)

Fig.4: Values of design accelerations according to zones

Cairo is of zone3

 $Fb=Sd(T1) \cdot \lambda \cdot (W/g)$ Where W= (DL + FC + Wall + α LL)

 α depends on the type of structure, in our case α =.25

SOIL TYPE A	с						
Type 1	1						
ZONE 1,2,3,4	1,5A o	r 56	B =		3		
REDUCTION F		R (I	R) =		5		
Total Weight of	430	0					
	12	<u> </u>					
	12	<u> </u>					
IMPORTANCE FA	1						
Total Numbe	r Of St	tori	es=		4		
Soil Type			S	TB	тс		TD
c			1.5	0.1	0.25	5	1.2
TYPES OF SOIL			S	TB	TC	. +	TD
A		1		0.05	0.25		1.2
в		1.35		0.05	0.25		1.2
C		1.5		0.1	0.25		1.2
REDUCTION EACTOR (B)	-		1.0 E	1	0.5	-	1.2
	- 1		2	-	4	50	50
20112	01		0.125	0.15	0.2	0.25	0.3
Total building WEIGHT (TON)=	430	n			0.1	0.20	
ag=	0.15	5					
H=	12		m				
T1=Ct(H)^3/4=	0.32237	7098	Sec.				
IMPORTANCE=	1						
CASE1	Sd(T))=	0.02911				
CASE2	Sd(T))=	0.1125				
CASE3	Sd(T))=	0.08724				
CASE4	Sd(T))=	0.32476				
FINAL Sd(T)=	0.08724	421					
1=	0.85	5					
Total base shear Fb =	318.877	7571	ton				

Fig.5: Excel sheet for calculating base shear

6th IUGRC International Undergraduate Research Conference, Military Technical College, Cairo, Egypt, Sep. 5th – Sep. 8th, 2022.

2.2.2 Method 2: Multi-Modal Response Spectrum Method

This method depends on using the dynamic characteristics to find the peak values of the response and designing on it. The dynamic analysis gets mode shapes, there is periodic time for each mode.

the state of the s	Estia Misso	Defi	Denv	Calaat	Assist	Analyse	Diam	Inc. Design	Ontines	Teels
		K.	Material P	roperties	Assign	Analyze	2.0	3-d Pla ele	3 60	- ₹
-	d" 🗊 🎝 🦄	IJ.	Section Pr	operties		•		× . E	+ 1+	14/2/2
	Model Exp	111	Spring Pro	perties		•	<	3-D View	·	
	Model Display		Diaphragr	ns						
1	Project Structure	ß	Pier Label	5						
\mathbf{x}	Properties	1921	Spandrel L	abels						
[I]	Groups	7	Group Det	initions						
ŀ	Named O	øø	Section C	uts						
X	Image: Named Pl	$^{\diamond}f_x$	Functions			,		Response Sp	ectrum	
		<	Generalize	d Displace	ments		-ijiijiu	Time History	·	
		•?	Mass Sour	ce						
		Ρδ	P-Delta O	ptions						
L		Μ	Modal Ca	ses						
6		¥ D	Load Patte	erns						
		(····)	Shell Unife	orm Load S	iets		-11			
×		1.0 D 1.5 E	Load Case							
Ⅲ		+E	Load Com	binations.			-11			
4			Auto Con	struction S	equence C	ase				
~		17	Walking V	ibrations			-11			
		Ρř	Performar	nce Checks						
	Function	Fund	ction Nan	ne io 0.05	Resp	oonse Sp	ectru	m		
	Function	Func Dan	nping Rat	ne io 0.05	Resp	oonse Sp	ectru	m		
	- Function	Func Darr Func Perio	ction Nan nping Rat ction	ne io 0.05	Resp /alue	oonse Sp	ectru	im		1
	Function Defined	Func Dam Func Perio	tion Nan nping Rat tion od	io 0.05	Resp /alue	oonse Sp	ectru	m		1/ 1/ 1/1/ 1/1/
	Function Defined	Func Darr Func Perio	tion Nan nping Rat tion od	io 0.05 2.2072 5.5181	Resp /alue	oonse Sp	ectru	Add		11 11 AN 11 AM
_	Function Defined 0 0.1 0.25 0.4	Func Dan Func Perio	tion Nan nping Rat tion od	io 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 3.4484	Resp /alue	oonse Sp	ectru	Add		11 113 1132 1144 1 100
	Function Defined 0.1 0.25 0.45 0.75	Func Dan Func Perio	tion Nan	10 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 3.4488 1.8394 1.8394	Resp /alue	oonse Sp		Modify Delete		1 101 2211 221
ie	Function Defined 0.1 0.25 0.45 1.2	Func Dam Func Perio	tion Nam nping Rat	10 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 3.4488 1.8394 1.3795 1.1496	Resp /alue	oonse Sp		m Add Modify Delete		11 104 1122 104 1 109 11:00 4
IE	Function Defined 0 0.1 0.25 0.4 0.75 1.2 Function	Func Dam Func Perio	tion Nan nping Rat tion od	io 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 5.5181 3.4488 1.8394 1.3795 1.1496	[Resp /alue	oonse Sp		Add Modify Delete		1/ 1/3. A.M. S.M. S.M. 19
	Function Defined 0 0.1 0.25 0.4 0.75 1.2 Function 5.00	Func Dan Func Perio	tion Nan nping Rat tion od	io 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 3.4488 3.4488 1.3795 1.1496	[Resp	×		M Add Modify Delete		1 ANT MA TANK THE AND
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Function Defined 0 0.1 0.25 0.75 1 1.2 Function 5.80 - 4.80 -	Func Dam Func Perio	tion Nan nping Rat tion od	0.05 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 3.4488 1.8394 1.3795 1.1496	/alue	conse Sp		m Add Modify Delete		11 15 Mar and and and
	Function Defined 0 0.1 0.25 0.4 0.75 1 1.2 Function 5.80 - 4.80 - 4.80 - 3.20 -	Func Dan Func Grag	tion Nan nping Rat tion nd	0.05	/alue	v		m Add Modify Delete		11 12 MAR HAR FOR THE STAR ST. S.A.
	Function Defined 0.1 0.2 0.7 0.7 1.2 Function 5.00 - 4.00 - 3.20 - 2.40 - 1.0	Func Dan Func Grap	tion Nan aping Rat tion od	0.05	/alue	v		Modify Delete		11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
ie i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Function Defined 0.1 0.25 0.4 0.75 1.2 Function 5.60 - 4.80 - 4.80 - 4.80 - 3.20 - 2.40 - 1.80 - 0.80 -	Func Dan Func Perio	tion Nan pping Rat	100 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 1.55181 1.8394 1.3796 1.1496	/alue	vonse Sp		Modify Delete		AL IN IS A WIT BUT STUT STUT STUT II
e e e e	Function 0 0.1 0.25 0.4 0.75 1.2 Function 5.80 - 4.80 - 4.80 - 2.40 - 3.20 - 2.40 - 0.80	Func Dan Func Perio	tion Nan pping Rat	1.20 1.60	/alue	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		Modify Delete		11 THE MAR THE THE THE & NO. 484 2
ic I VI DO	Function 0 0.1 0.25 0.45 1.2 Function 4.800 - 4.800 - 3.200 - 2.400 - 0.800 - 0.800 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 -	Func Dan Func Perio	tion Nan pping Rat tion bd	2.2072 5.5181 5.5181 1.33484 1.3795 1.1496	/alue	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	9ectru	Modify Delete		11 THE MARS AND AND ATTING AT NOT ANY A
	Function 0 0.1 0.25 0.4 0.75 1.2 1.2 Function 4.800 - 4.800 - 3.200 - 2.400 - 3.200 - 2.400 - 0.600 - 0.6000 - 0.600 - 0.6000 - 0.6000 - 0.600 - 0.6000 - 0.6000 - 0.6	Func Perio	tion Nan aping Rat tion od	ne 0.05 2.2072 5.5181 1.3795 1.1496 1.201.00	/alue	000395 St	9ectru	Modify Delete		11 THE THE THE THE STATE AND A THE
	Function 0 0.1 0.25 0.45 1.2 Function 4.800 - 4.800 - 3.200 - 2.400 - 0.800 - 0.800 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 -	Func Perio	tion Nan pping Rat tion bd	ne 0 005 2.2072 5.5181 1.3348 1.1496 1.1496 0.05	/alue	Canu	sectru	m Add Modify Delete		1 14 Mar Mar 1 and 1 and 8 and 8 and 1

Fig.6:Defining Response Spectrum Function Then defining the response spectrum function using the previous excel sheet and the building weight.

6th IUGRC International Undergraduate Research Conference, Military Technical College, Cairo, Egypt, Sep. 5th - Sep. 8th, 2022.

				F	tesponse Spe	ectrum Functi	ons				
T1=	0	0.1	0.25	0.4	0.75	1	1.2	1.3	2	3	4
SR	0.225	0.5625	0.5625	0.3515625	0.1875	0.140625	0.1171875	0.099852071	0.04219	0.02	0.02
а	2.2073	5.518125	5.51813	3.44882813	1.839375	1.37953125	1.14960938	0.979548817	0.41386	0.1962	0.1962
	RESPONSE SPECTRUM CURVE										
	0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1	$\overline{\}$									
	0	0.5		i 1	5	2	2.5	3 3	5	4	4.5

Fig.7: Entering values of time period and acceleration Then from results of the program get the base shear

	Base Reactions									
14	4 1 of 2	🕨 🔰 🛛 Reload	Apply							
Г	Load Case/Combo	FX tonf	FY tonf	FZ tonf	MX torf-m	MY torf-m	MZ torf-m	X	Y m	Z
•	Earthquakes X M	277.5945	1.731E-06	0	7.523E-06	1665.7007	2430.5898	0	0	0
	Earthquakes Y M	3.649E-05	277.5552	0	1665.5021	0.0004	4138.3485	0	0	0

Fig.8: Getting value of base shear

Base Shear = 277.5552*t*

2.2.3 **Method 3: Time History Method** This method depends on analyzing the structure on a real earthquake then calculating the dynamic response of the structure using numerical integration . We use the information of 3 earthquakes at least then use the maximum force, in case of using seven earthquakes or more we can take the average of the internal forces. Time History Analysis is nonlinear approach to calculate time varying responses.



Fig.9: Relation between acceleration over time

	Defir	ne	Draw	Select	Assign	Analyze	Displ	ay	Design	Options	Tools
	K.	Ma	terial Pro	operties			00	3-d	p a e e v	3 6	舎 景
	IJ	Sec	tion Pro	perties		۲	<u>.</u> ×	\sim	• ⁰ ₄	+	e fag n/2
ŗ	² ² ² ²	Spr	ring Prop	erties		۲					
		Dia	phragm	5							
	ß	Pie	r Labels				I 1				
3	uЮЭ	Spa	andrel La	bels			I 1				
al	7.	Gro	oup Defir	nitions							
	ØØ	Sec	tion Cut	S			I 1				
2	[¢] f _x	Fur	nctions			•	\sim	Res	ponse Sp	ectrum	
	<~	Gei	neralized	Displace	ments		-ijiijiw	Tin	ne Histor	/	
	•?	Ma	ss Sourc	e				-	N. Contraction		1
	Ρδ	P-0	Delta Opt	tions			-	Î	WHI NO	A AND	4
	М	Mo	dal Case	:5					A 1		
	2 B	Loa	ad Patter	ns						The Mart	
)	She	ell Unifor	m Load S	ets		14				
	1.0 D 1.5 E	Loa	ad Cases.					P		T M	
	D+L +E	Loa	ad Comb	inations				T			
	E	Au	to Const	ruction Se	equence Ca	ase		2	~		
	22	Wa	Iking Vib	orations							A 0
	P۲	Per	formanc	e Checks			2	A			

Fig.10: Defining Time History Function

Time	History Function Name	n]	
Method to Use for Spectral Matching					
Spectral Matching in Frequency D	omain 🔘 Spectral Matc	hing in Tìme Domai	n		
Choose Input Response Spectrum and Ref	erence Time History				
Target Response Spectrum	Program Default	~ ()	Response Spectrum Acceler	ation Units	g Units V
Reference Acceleration Time History	Program Default	× ()	Time History Acceleration Un	its	g Units 🔍 🗸
M			Little Little State	الم الم	Authors
			el patrice and a second se	Harvall Albert	MM mm
Rep. Spec. Pet Aven Options	Repone Spectrum Red Options	Time History P		quency-Domain	Spectral Matching
Rep. Spec. Ped Aven Options @ Xlin-YLin () Xlin-YLing	Response Spectrum Rid Options O Ratfor Reference Time Hadroy	Time Hatory P O Plot Refe	H Optove Free reco Time Hatoy	quency-Domain Set Max	Spectral Matching

Fig.11: Insert the data of earthquakes or data matched to the response spectrum modal

Then define load cases of time history

6th IUGRC International Undergraduate Research Conference, Military Technical College, Cairo, Egypt, Sep. 5th – Sep. 8th, 2022.

Time History Case X	Nonlinear Modal History
Time History Case Y	Nonlinear Modal History

Fig.12: Load cases of Time History Analysis

Base Shear = 246.2061t

DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis indicated that the shear forces and bending moments increased in columns and beams due to seismic loads.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained from the analysis of the 4 storey reinforced concrete building in Cairo city, lead to the following conclusion The values of shear forces due to gravity and seismic loads in the different methods are approximately the same.

REFERENCES

- Egyptian Society for Earthquake Engineering (ESEE).: Regulations for Earthquake-Resistance Design of Buildings in Egypt. Cairo. Egypt . 1988
- [2] Egyptian Code for Design and Construction of Reinforced Concrete Structures, Research Center for Housing, Building and Physical Planning, Giza, Egypt, 2003
- [3] Modeling Cracked Section Properties for Building Analysis ETABS Computers and Structures, inc
- [4] Diaphragms Shells, CSI ETABS help